Elements of structure (AG, Intro, Body, Conclusion, Closing, transitions)

* The structure of our speech was effective, following the speech outline.

Content (thoroughness, details, main point balance)

* Our content was thorough throughout, explaining in as much detail as time allowed all of our main points
* All of our main points were equally weighted, with one not getting much more time than any other.

Supporting material (variety of types and quality)

* Our supporting materials were mostly from reputable medical institutions, so the variety was not that wide. However, they were all of good quality and were effective.

Sources and Evidence (quality of sources, credibility, citing of sources)

* We had good, reputable sources that we cited correctly throughout.
* All of the cited sources used furthered our explanation of the topic.

Delivery (physical and vocal delivery aspects)

* I felt that we had good physical and vocal variety when speaking, although it got a little monotone in the middle of the speech.
* I did feel that we could have made better eye contact with the audience throughout the speech and relying on our notecards less.

Group flow and presentation as a group

* Overall, I felt that our group had a good flow, switching between speakers without hesitation.
* I also felt that we handled switching out of visual aids smoothly, without any awkward pauses for switching.

Use of visual aids

* I felt that our group’s use of visual aids was very affective in further explaining our topic without being too much visual information so as to detract from the speech itself.
* I did feel that our second slide was left up a little too long after we had moved on from the subject it related to